Foreword

How Forecasts Are Made

Most of the annual streamflow in the Western United States originates as snowfall that has accumulated high in the mountains during winter and early spring. As the snowpack accumulates, hydrologists estimate the runoff that will occur when it melts. Predictions are based on careful measurements of snow water equivalent at selected index points. Precipitation, temperature, soil moisture and antecedent streamflow data are combined with snowpack data to prepare runoff forecasts. Streamflow forecasts are coordinated by Soil Conservation Service and National Weather Service hydrologists. This report presents a comprehensive picture of water supply outlook conditions for areas dependent upon surface runoff. It includes selected streamflow forecasts, summarized snowpack and precipitation data, reservoir storage data, and narratives describing current conditions.

Snowpack data are obtained by using a combination of manual and automated measurement methods. Manual readings of snow depth and water equivalent are taken at locations called snow courses on a monthly or semi-monthly schedule during the winter. In addition, snow water equivalent, precipitation and temperature are monitored on a daily basis and transmitted via radio telemetry to central data collection facilities. Both monthly and daily data are used to project snowmelt runoff.

An error is associated with each forecast, and this error decreases as the season progresses and more data becomes available. To express the range of error that can be expected, "most probable" forecasts are issued along with a range representing a "reasonable minimum" and a "reasonable maximum". Actual streamflow can be expected to fall within this range in eight out of ten years. Additionally two specific scenarios are provided based on the assumption that subsequent precipitation will be "wet", above average, or "dry", below average.

For More Information

Copies of Monthly Water Supply Outlook Reports and other reports may be obtained from the states Ilsted below. An annual snow survey data summary is published by the Soll Conservation Service for each of the western states. Historical snow survey data may be obtained at those same offices.

STATE	ADDRESS
Alaska	201 East 9th Ave., Sulte 300, Anchorage, AK 99501-3687
Arlzona	201 East Indianola Ave., Sulte 200, Phoenix, AZ 85012
Colorado	2490 West 26th Ave., Bullding A, 3rd floor, Denver, CO 80211
Idaho	3244 Elder Street, Room 124, Boise, ID 83705
Montana	10 East Babcock, Room 443, Federal Bullding, Bozeman, MT 59715
Nevada	1201 Terminal Way, Room 219, Reno, NV 89502
New Mexico	517 Gold Ave. S.W., Room 3301, Albuquerque, NM 87102-3157
Oregon	1220 Southwest 3rd Ave., Room 1640, Portland, OR 97204
Utah	4402 Federal Building, 125 South State Street, Salt Lake City, UT 84147
WashIngton	W. 920 Riverside, Room 360, Spokane, WA 99201-1080
Wyoming	Federal Building, 100 "B" Street, Room 3124, Casper, WY 82601

In addition to state reports, a Water Supply Outlook for the Western United States is published by the Soil Conservation Service and National Weather Service monthly, January through May. Reports may be obtained from the Soil Conservation Service, West National Technical Center, 511 Northwest Broadway, Room 248, Portland, OR 97209-3489.

Water supply reports published by other agencies:

California — Snow Survey Branch, California Department of Water Resources, P.O. Box 388, Sacramento, CA 95802; British Columbia — The Ministry of Environment, Water Investigations Branch, Parliament Buildings, Victoria, British Columbia, V8V 1X5; Yukon Territory — Department of Indian and Northern Affairs, Northern Operations Branch, 200 Range Road, Whitehorse, Yukon Territory, Y1A3V1; Alberta, Environment Technical Services Division, 9820 106th St., Edmonton, Alberta T5K 2J6.

Utah Water Supply Outlook

and

Federal - State - Private Cooperative Snow Surveys

Issued by

Wilson Scaling Chief Soil Conservation Service Washington, D. C.

Released by

Francis T. Holt State Conservationist Soil Conservation Service Salt Lake City, Utah

In cooperation with

Utah State Department of Natural Resources
Robert L. Morgan D. Larry Anderson
State Engineer Director
Division of Water Rights Division of Water Resources

Prepared by


Jon G. Werner Snow Survey Supervisor Soil Conservation Service 125 So. State St., Fed. Bldg. P. O. Box 11350 Salt Lake City, Utah 84147

Programs and assistance of the United States Department of Agriculture are available without regard to race, creed, color, sex, age, handicap, marital status or national origin.


TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATE STREAMFLOW PROSPECTS MAP	1
STATE GENERAL OUTLOOK	2
BASIN OUTLOOK AND CONDITIONS	
BEAR RIVER BASIN	4
WEBER & OGDEN WATERSHEDS	6
UTAH LAKE, JORDAN RIVER & TOOELE VALLEY	8
UINTAH BASIN & DAGGET SCD's 1	0
CARBON, EMERY, WAYNE, GRAND & SAN JUAN CO 1	2
SEVIER & BEAVER RIVER BASINS 1	4
E. GARFIELD, KANE, WASHINGTON & IRON CO 10	6
SNOW MEASUREMENT DATA 1	8
SNOWPACK PROGRESS GRAPH 2	3
1989 SNOWPACK COMPARISON 24	À

Streamflow Prospects for Utah



- 1 BEAR RIVER BASIN
- 2 WEBER & OGDEN WATERSHEDS IN UTAH
- 3 UTAH LAKE, JORDAN RIVER & TOOELE VALLEY
- 4 UINTAH BASIN & DAGGET SCD'S
- 5 CARBON, EMERY, WAYNE, GRAND & SAN JUAN CO.
- 6 SEVIER & BEAVER RIVER BASINS
- 7 E. GARFIELD, KANE, WASHINGTON & IRON CO.



GENERAL OUTLOOK

SUMMARY

Prospects for normal streamflows from melting snowpacks this spring and summer in Utah are 66% to 89% of average. The barely normal snow accumulation during February will have to be increased dramatically during March to provide average forecasted values by April first.

SNOWPACK

A one percent rise in the Statewide snowpack was noted. This 91% figure covers a range of snowpacks that begins in southwestern Utah on the Parowan drainage (67% of average). Snowpack improves as you move northward across the state with 85% reports in the Sevier system and normal snows in the LaSal Mountains. Uinta Basin rose slightly to 86% of average. The Weber-Ogden drainages remain highest at 97% of average. Only 15% of the snowpack building season remains. Extraordinary snowfalls will be needed during March to overcome the current snowpack doldrums.

PRECIPITATION

February precipitation in Utah mountains was near one and one-half times usual for the Uintah's and above normal for the Bear, and the Weber-Ogden watersheds. The Sevier River Basin received 80% of average for the month which was the lowest for the State. Totals since October first are highest in the Bear River at 101% of average and lowest in southwestern Utah at 71% of average. February precipitation for low elevations was above normal from the Tooele Valley into Utah County, eastward into the Central Wasatch Mountains, and into the Uinta Basin. The east central portion of Utah received above normal amounts of moisture. Elsewhere, low elevation precipitation was near to below normal. Seasonal precipitation at low elevations for the water year is below normal for the majority of Utah (75%-85%). An area of above normal accumulation exists along a small portion of the Wasatch Front and Wasatch Mountain.


RESERVOIRS

Statewide, 24 of the major Utah reservoirs reported levels at 106% of the March first average storage, however, 29% of available storage space in the reservoirs is still vacant. This is encouraging, but with just one month left to build potential runoff into the mountain snowpacks, there is concern that several major reservoirs such as Pineview and Dear Creek may not fill. Moon Lake Reservoir is currently the lowest at only 53% of usable storage. Mill Site Reservoir is the highest, storing 322% of average.

STREAMFLOW

Northern Utah streamflow prospects have been hampered by two preceding dry winters with a very dry fail this year. Streamflows of 40% to 70% of usual for October through January bespeak the dry soil profiles. In this situation, above normal snowfalls are required to produce near normal flows. Specific forecasts range from lowest in the Santa Clara of 50% of average to 70% to 80% of average in the Sevier. The Grantsville and Vernon runoffs are forecasted at two-thirds of usual, while the rest of northern Utah range from 78% in the Weber to near 90% in the Duchesne drainages.

Bear River Basin



ER SUPPLY OUTLOOK:

The snow water content in the Bear River watershed increased more in the lower drainages than in the upper during February. Overall, the water content increased from 89% to 95% of average during the previous month. Precipitation was 115% of normal for February and is 101% of average for year to date. Streamflow forecasts are down from last month's, ranging from 61% to 87% of average. Bear Lake is 84% of average for the end of February.

For more information contact your local Soil Conservation Service Office: Tremonton Field Office 801-257-5403 Logan Field Office 801-753-5616

BEAR RIVER BASIN


STREAMFLOW FORECASTS

FORECAST POINT	FORECAST PERIOD	MOST PROBABLE (1999AF)	MOST PROBABLE (% AVG.)	HET SUBS. (1000AF)	DRY SUBS. (1000AF)	REA MA (1 <i>00</i> 0	X.	REAS. MIN. 000AF)		25 YR. AVG. (1000AF)
				## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##			~~~~			*******
BEAR RIVER near UT-WY Stateline	APR-JUL	199	86	114	86	1	31	69		116
BEAR near Hoodruff	APR-JUL	110	73	142	77	1	88	32		156
WOODRUFF CREEK near Woodruff	APR-JUL	15.9	67	17.1	12.8	19	.5	iø.5		17.3
BIG CREEK near Randolph	APR-JUL	4.7	89	5.7	3.7	7	.5	1,9		5.3
BEAR near Randolph	apr-jul	77	61	195	48		48	6,4		126
SMITHS FORK near Border	APR-SEP	93	78	192	88		62	24		123
THOMAS FORK near Stateline	APR-SEP	28	76	31	27	0	49	7.3		47
SEAR RIVER near Harer	APR-SEP	225	79	255	215		65	86		37
BEAR RIVER blw Stewart Dam	APR-SEP	187	63	215	175		65	00 107		31Ø 298
UB RIVER near Preston	APR-JUL	42	94	49	36		-0	00		
ITTLE BEAR RIVER near Paradise	APR-JUL	37	81	45	28		56	28		47
LOGAN RIVER near Logan	APR-JUL	196	82	116	84		54 34	19.6 66		46 122
BLACKSMITH FORK near Hyrum	APR-JUL	43	84	48	37	6	62	24		51
RESERVOI	r storage	(1	999AF)		HATE	RSHED SNO	DHPACK /	NALYSIS		*****
RESERVOIR	USEABLE ;	** USEAB THIS	LE STORAGE + LAST				NO.		YEAR	AS % OF
Thousand To a Th	CAPACITI	YEAR		HATER	COHEU		COURSES AVG'D		YR,	AVERAGE
EAR LAKE	1421.9	826.4 1	Ø36.2 992	.5 REAR	RIVER, UPPER	IN LITAU	6	124		87
YRUM	15.3	12.5	V. C		RIVER, LOHER	IN UTAH	19	138		97 98
ORCUPINE	11.3	4.5		.7 BEAR	R. DRAINAGE I	N UTAH	15	135		95
OODRUFF NARROWS	55.8	7.5	3 9. 9 -	- ! BEAR	RIVER, UPPER		12	125		87
OODRUFF CREEK		NO REPORT		; BEAR	RIVER, LOMER		19	142		99
					RIVER DRAINAS	3	29	137		95
. •				LOGAN	RIVER		5	130		91
				RAFT	RIYER		4	152		99
				BEAR	RIVER BASIN		35	137		95

WET SUBS. and DRY SUBS. represent 13% and 7% percent subsequent precipitation events respectively. REAS. MAX. and REAS. MIN. forecasts are for 1%% and 9%% exceedance levels.

^{(2) -} Corrected for upstream diversions or changes in reservoir storage.

Weber & Ogden Watersheds



WATER SUPPLY OUTLOOK:

Snowpack in the Weber-Ogden watersheds have dropped from above normal to 96% of average. The precipitation at mountain sites since October first is 90% of normal. Also considering the generally poor streamflows (40%-70% of normal) since October, below normal streamflows are forecasted for this spring and summer. Eighty-nine percent expected flows on Farmington Creek is highest while the rest of the basin is represented by forecasts of 78% inflow to Echo Reservoir, 72% for the East Canyon drainage, and the lowest expected for Pineview inflow at 71% of normal. Reservoir storage ranged from a low 69% of average at Pineview to a high of 113% of average at Causey and Lost Creek.

For more information contact your local Soil Conservation Service Office: Layton Sub Office 801-544-9144

STREAMFLOW FORECASTS

FORECAST POINT	FORECAST PERIOD	MOST PROBABLE (1989AF)	MOST PROBABLE (% AVG.)	HET SUBS. (10000AF)	DRY SUBS. (1960AF)	REAS. KAX. (1888AF)	REAS. MIN. (1 00 0AF)	ı	25 YR. AYG. (1888AF)
SHITH AND HOOREHOUSE CREEK near Oak!	ADID. II NU	æ	۵n	07	~	00	•••		04
WEBER RIVER near Oakley	APR-JUN	25 85	89 79	27 96	22 75	33	18.4		30
ROCKPORT RESERVOIR inflow	APR-JUN	86	72 72	199	75 74	112 128	61 5ø		197 120
CHALK CREEK near Coalville	APR-JUN	39	73	34	27	43	17.7		41
WEBER RIVER near Coalville	APR-JUN	91	72	198	77	128	58		127
ECHO RESERVOIR inflow	APR-JUN	123	75	141	198	170	81		163
LOST CREEK near Croyden	APR-JUN	13.0	83	13.5	12.5	19.7	6.3		15.6
EAST CANYON CREEK near Morgan	APR-JUN	21	72	24	19.3	31	12.9		29
HARDSCRABBLE CREEK near Porterville	APR-JUN	16.5	87	18.9	12.5	25	7.0		18,4
WEBER RIVER at Gateway	APR-JUN	225	69	265	199	300	150		328
SOUTH FORK OGDEN RIVER near Huntsvil	5	44	76	54	34	58	28		58
PINEVIEW RESERVOIR inflow	APR-JUN	87	71	197	71	111	58		122
WEELER CREEK near Huntsville	APR-JUN	5.0	79	5.8	4.2	6.3	3.5		6.3
FARMINGTON CREEK near Farmington	APR-JUL	7.3	69	8.6	6.0	11.3	3.3		8.2
RESERVOIR S	STORAGE	(1	990AF)	 	WATERS	SHED SNOWPA	CK ANALYS	IS	
	USEABLE : CAPACITY:	++ USEAB THIS	LE STORAGE :		Notice to	NO.		IS YEA	R AS % OF
neochtoth	CHENCITY	YEAR		: HATES /G. :	COHILLU	AVG	rses 'D la'	ST YR.	AVERAGE
CAUSEY	7.1	2.6	3.9	.3 : 060E)	RIVER	4	16	4	99
EAST CANYON	48.1	31.6			RIVER	17			96
ECHO	73.9	54.5			& OGDEN HATER		6.777777		97
LOST CREEK	29.6	15.1	17.2 1),4 (

WET SUBS. and DRY SUBS. represent 130 and 70 percent subsequent precipitation events respectively. REAS. MAX. and REAS. MIN. forecasts are for 10% and 90% exceedance levels.

115.1

64.9

165.5

33.7 27.7

115.3

41.2

25.4

133.7

48.7 |

35.2 :

116.4


PINEVIEW

ROCKPORT

HILLARD BAY

^{(2) -} Corrected for upstream diversions or changes in reservoir storage.

Utah Lake, Jordan River & Tooele Valley



WATER SUPPLY OUTLOOK:

Snowpacks across this basin dropped 9% resulting in March first snow water content at 93% of average. With year-to-date precipitation of only 82%, however, and several years of poor snowpacks preceded by dry fall conditions, a potential good water supply outlook for this year is diminished. Thus, Deer Creek Reservoir should receive only 79% of its usual inflow and the Six Creeks watersheds are forecasted in the 74% to 87% of average range. Vernon Creek and Willow Creek flows are forecasted lowest at 67%. Reservoir storage currently ranges from 91% of average at Utah Lake to 160% of average at Settlement Creek.

For more information contact your local Soil Conservation Service Office: Midvale Field Office 801-524-4373 Provo Field Office 801-377-5580

STREAMFLOW FORECASTS


FORECAST POINT	FORECAST PER100	MOST PROBABLE (1888AF)	MOST PROBABLE (% AVG.)	MET SUBS. (1800AF)	DRY SUBS. (1000AF)	REAS. MAX. (1888AF)	REAS. HIN. (1988AF)	25 YR. AVG. (1888AF)
**************************************						1100000 /	11VVVV /	\13VVAI /
SALT CREEK near Nephi	APR-JUL	19.3	70	11.1	0.5	01	5.4	10.5
PAYSON CREEK near Payson	APR-JUL	5.5	76 75	11.1	9.5	21	5.4	13.5
SPANISH FORK near Castilla		5.5 55						7.3
STAILTSH FORK HEEF CASTILLS	APR-JUL	22	69					80
HOBBLE CREEK near Springville	APR-JUL	18.5	77					23
PROVO near Hailstone	APR-JUL	95	84			128	68	113
PROVO below Deer Creek Dam	APR-JUL	195	79			149	66	133
MEDIAN FOR			_					
AMERICAN FORK near American Fk.	APR-JUL	25	74			31	21	34
JTAH LAKE INFlow	APR-JUL	200	68			285	129	295
ITTLE COTTONHOOD CRK near SLC	APR-JUL	36	88			43	31	41
BIG COTTONNOOD CRK near SLC	APR-JUL	34	87			38	27	39
PARLEY'S CREEK near SLC	APR-JUL	13.5	79			19.3	9.9	17.9
MILL CREEK near SLC	APR-JUL	5.5	86			8.1	3.9	6.9
		•••	•••			0.1	313	0.3
MIGRATION CREEK near SLC	APR-JUL	3.4	74				,	4.6
CITY CREEK near SLC	APR-JUL	7.0	78			9.1	5.4	9.0
ERNON CREEK near Vernon	APR-JUN	∅.8	67	9.8	8.8	1.5	Ø.1	1.2
ETTLEMENT CREEK near Tooele	APR-JUL	1.4	4.					
SOUTH WILLOW CREEK near Grantsville		1.7	74 67	2.1	1.3	3.9	9.7	2.3
MOUNTAIN CHEEK HEAR GEARTSVILLE	APK-JUL	2.3	91	2.3	1.7	3.7	9.3	3.0
				!	**			
RESERVOIR	STORAGE	(1	669AF)	i	NATE	rshed snompai	CK ANALYSIS	

	RESERVOIR STORAGE (1999AF) HATI		NATERSHED SN	SHED SHOHPACK ANALYSIS				
RESERVOIR	USEABLE : CAPACITY!	** USE THIS	ABLE STOR	AGE ++	UATEDONEO	NO.	THIS YEAR	R AS % OF
REJERVOIR	CALACIITI	YEAR	YEAR	AVG.	KATERSHED	COURSES AVG ' D	LAST YR.	AVERAGE
DEER CREEK	149.6	166.6	114.6	95.5	PROVO RIVER 8 UTAH LAKE	10	124	84
GRANTSVILLE	3.3	1.8	2.#		PROVO RIVER	5	133	79
SETTLEMENT CREEK	1.9	9.8	9.9	9.5	JORDAN RIVER & GREAT SALT	13	186	182
STRAMBERRY-ENLARGED	951.4	397.5	478.5		TOOELE & VERNON N.S.'S	5	156	18
UTAH LAKE	855.5	629.2	795.4	689.4	UTAH LJORDAN RTOOELE	28	150	93
VERNON CREEK	9. 6	J. 5	1.5	9.5				

WET SUBS. and DRY SUBS. represent 130 and 70 percent subsequent precipitation events respectively. REAS. MAX. and REAS. MIN. forecasts are for 10% and 90% exceedance levels.

^{(2) -} Corrected for upstream diversions or changes in reservoir storage.

Uintah Basin & Dagget SCD's



WATER SUPPLY OUTLOOK:

In spite of an excellent storming pattern in early February, the Uintas only experienced a modest increase of snowpack to 86% of average. High snowpacks are noted on the Ashley and Sheep Creeks at 103% of average. The Duchesne is lowest at 85%. With year-to-date precipitation of only 86% of average, the streamflow forecasts range from a low of 77% inflow expected at Flaming Gorge Reservoir to a high of 101% for Big Brush Creek. Reservoir storage volume currently range from 53% at Moon Lake to 137% at Starvation Reservoir.

For more information contact your local Soil Conservation Service Office: Roosevelt Field Office 801-722-4621

UINTAH BASIN & DAGGET SCD'S

STREAMFLOW FORECASTS


FORECAST POINT	FORECAST PER100	HOST PROBABLE (1999AF)	MOST PROBABLE (% AVG.)	MET SUBS. (1999AF)	DRY Subs. (1000af)	REAS. MAX. (1 999 AF)	REAS. MIN. (1000AF)	25 YR. AVG. (1 000 AF)
-# 4 4 6 4 7 4 7 4 7 7 4 4 6 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	*********							
BLACK'S FORK or Millburne	APR-JUL	86	99	98	74	128	56	96
HENRY'S FORK or Manila 2	APR-JUL	樽	89	58	26	64	16.1	45
GREEN RIVER nr Greendale 2	APR-JUL	380	77			1310	69#	1267
BIG BRUSH CREEK ab Red Fleet Res	APR-JUL	29	161	21	18.2	25	16.0	19.8
ASHLEY CREEK or Vernal 2	APR-JUL	50	96	57	43	63	40	52
WEST FORK DUCHESNE RIVER or Hanna	APR-JUL	25	96	28	21	38	19.5	26
DUCHESME RIVER nr Tabiona	APR-JUL	92	84	192	81	119	71	116
ROCK CREEK or Mountain Home	APR-JUL	83	87	89	77	196	66	95
DUCHESME RIVER aby Knight Diversion		165	85	175	155	295	126	194
OTO ALPOTONIA DI UNIO IL CALLA	ADD NO	***	49	CO	44	ce	20	ca.
STRANBERRY RIVER inflow to Strawberr		52	87	62	41	65 ec	38	60
CURRANT CREEK or Fruitland 2	APR-JUL	29	87	22	18.4	25	15.2	23
STRAMBERRY RIVER inflow to Starvatio	APR-JUL	58	87			71	45	67
STRAMBERRY RIVER or Duchesne (natura	APR-JUL	165	87	120	89	129	81	121
AKEFORK RIVER blw Moon Lake 2	APR-JUL	85	92	75	56	83	59	71
YELLOWSTONE RIVER nr Altonah	APR-JUL	58	86	65	50	82	34	66
DUCHESNE RIVER at Myton 2	APR-JUL	236	84	285	175	315	123	275
JINTA RIVER or Neola	APR-JUL	86	91	91	69	116	44	88
#HITEROCKS RIVER nr Whiterocks	APR-JUL	56	93	64	48	81	31	69
DUCHESNE RIVER or Randlett	APR-JUL	284	82	364	2 9 5	520	117	34 0
RESERVOIR :	STORAGE	(1 000 AF)	:	HATE	ERSHED SNOWP/	ACK ANALYS	is
AFAMALA 10	USEABLE ;		BLE STORAGE		neira	NO.		IS YEAR AS % O
RESERVOIR	CAPACITY!	THIS YEAR	LAST YEAR /	176. 1	RSHED		irses B'd lai	ST YR. AVERAG
LAMING GORGE	3749.6	2898.4	9 # 15.2	: UPPE	r green rivet	R in UTAH 13	3 10	5 69
HOON LAKE	35.8	8.9			EY CREEK		17	CT 1984 864889889 (84.788) 2570 (4.744)
LOUIS WIND	26.9	25.5			K'S FORK RIVE		8	CONCOCCUSA, DAY, AND AND MY
RED FI FET		17.7	MY MENNEY M. 1908-1.	70.70 40.70	P CREEK		9	
	34.3			7 7.70			21 37 6 6	
STE INAKER	33.3 165.3		2011 (2) 30 (2000) (3000) (4)	2.1 NICH	esne river	i i)	
STEINAKER STARVATION	165.3	153,8	166.1 1	and the same of th	esne river Fork-yellok	16 STONE CK. 3	11 17 600	
STEINAKER STARVATION			166.1 1	LAKE	FORK-YELLOW		3 12	3 98
RED FLEET STEINAKER STARVATION STRANBERRY-ENLARGED	165.3	153,8	166.1 1	I LAKE		STONE CK. 3		3 66 3 66

WET SUBS, and DRY SUBS, represent 130 and 70 percent subsequent precipitation events respectively.

REAS. MAX. and REAS. MIN. forecasts are for 18% and 98% exceedance levels.

^{(2) -} Corrected for upstream diversions or changes in reservoir storage.

Carbon, Emery, Wayne, Grand, and San Juan Co.



WATER SUPPLY OUTLOOK:

Snow water content in southeastern Utah is 84% of average. Willow Creek-White River, Blue Mountains, LaSal Mountains and Fremont River basins increased in water content and range from 113% to 87% of average. Water content, as compared to normal, decreased during the month of February on the Muddy River and San Rafeal River basins. Streamflow forecasts range from 74% of average for Scofield Reservoir inflow to 110% of average for San Jaun near Bluff. Mountain precipitation during February was 97% of normal. Reservoir storage is 103% of the March first average.

For more information contact your local Soil Conservation Service Office: Price Field Office 801-637-0041

STREAMFLOW FORECASTS


FORECAST POINT	FORECAST PERIOD	MOST PROBABLE (1998AF)	MOST PROBABLE (X AVG.)	MET SUBS. (1888AF)	DRY SUBS. (1000AF)	REAS. MAX. (1000AF)	REAS. MIN. (1 888 AF)
GOOSEBERRY CREEK or Scofield	APR-JUL	9,2	77			13.3	5.1
SCOFIELD RESERVOIR inflow	APR-JUL	- 34	74			46	24
PRICE RIVER or Heiner 2	APR-JUL	47	88			63	3 5
GREEN RIVER at Green River, UT 2	APR-JUL	2489	75			3269	1549
HUNTINGTON CREEK inf to Electric Lak		12.0	79	12.8	11.2	16.2	9.1
HUNTINGTON CREEK or Huntington 2	APR-JUL	4	73	12.0		55	30
monthalon curry in noneingeon 2	M M TOUL	79.				00	V.
COTTONNOOD CREEK or Orangeville 2	APR-JUL	37	79	44	31	53	21
FERRON CREEK or Ferron	APR-JUL	33	88	38	27	48	17.8
			98	30	21	4480	2636
COLORADO nr Cisco, UT 2	APR-JUL	31劇	2/8			4409	2434
NILL COURTY ML	APR-JUL	5.7	164	5.8	5.6	7.7	3.7
MILL CREEK or Moab							3.8
SEVEN MILE CREEK or Fish Lake	APR-JUL	6.2	95	6.5	5.9	8.6	
MUDBY CREEK or Emery	APR-JUL	15.4	76	18.5	13.5	24	8.2
	100 114			008	264	1144	EQ4
SAN JUAN RIVER or Archuleta 2	APR-JUL	636	199	95∯	799	1149	580
SAN JUAN or Bluff, UT 2	APR-JUL	1299	110			1719	<i>77</i> 5

	RESERVOIR STORAGE		(1900AF)	;	WATERSHED S	NOMPACK AN	MLYSIS		:
APANATA	USEABLE		ABLE STOR	AGE ++	WITCHMEN	NO.	THIS	YEAR	AS)
RESERVOIR	CAPACITY	THIS YEAR	LAST YEAR	AVG.	WATERSHED	COURSES AVG 'D	LAST	YR.	AVE
HUNTINGTON NORTH	3.9	2.4	3.6	3.9	PRICE RIVER	3	194		85
JOE'S VALLEY	61.6	39.5	42.9	44.6	san rafael river	7	111		79
KEN'S LAKE	2.3	9.9	1.9		HUDDY RIVER	2	132		76 87
MILL SITE	16.7	12.9	8.6	4.9	FREMONT RIVER	4	123		
SCOF IELD	65.8	31.6	49.5	32.2	LASAL MOUNTAINS	2	98		199
				;	BLUE HOUNTAINS	2	111		97
				}	HILLON CREEK - WHITE RIV	E 3	148		113
					SOUTHEASTERN UTAH	22	199		84

WET SUBS, and DRY SUBS, represent 130 and 76 percent subsequent precipitation events respectively. REAS, MAX, and REAS, MIN. forecasts are for 10% and 90% exceedance levels.

^{(2) -} Corrected for upstream diversions or changes in reservoir storage.

Sevier & Beaver River Basins



WATER SUPPLY OUTLOOK:

Snow water content on the Sevier and Beaver River basins decreased from 87% to 85% of average for the month of February. The East Fork Sevier River showed an increase in snow water content to 92% of average and streamflow forecasts of 83% of average. The other streamflow forecasts either decreased slightly or remained the same for the April-July runoff period and now range from 67% to 91% of average. Mountain precipitation during February was 80% of normal. Usable storage in reservoirs is 157% of average for the end of February.

For more information contact your local Soil Conservation Service Office: Richfield Field Office 801-896-6261 Fillmore Field Office 801-743-6655

SEVIER & BEAVER RIVER BASINS

LAST YR. AVERAGE

88

92

87

83

86

85

129

118

121

116

98

119

AVG'D

7

12

3

U SEVIER (s of Richfield) 11

SEVIER & BEAVER R. BASINS 26

EAST FORK SEYIER RIVER

LOWER SEVIER RIVER

BEAVER RIVER

SOUTH FORK SEYIER RIVER

STREAMFLOW FORECASTS

FORECAST POINT	FORECAST PERIOO	MOST PROBABLE (1999AF)	MOST PROBABLE (% AVG.)	WET SUBS. (1999AF)	ORY SUBS. (1999AF)	REAS. MAX. (1000AF)	REAS. MIN. (1000AF)	25 YR. AYG. (1800AF)
	PERIO	ואסספנו	\A A10./	ואעעעוו	(1000m)			
SEVIER at Hatch	APR-JUL	35	67			55	18.9	52
SEVIER near Circleville	APR-JUL	35	86 86			•		44
		25	74			52	18.4	34
SEVIER near Kingston	APR-JUL	ru.	/7				****	
ANTIMONY CREEK near Antimony	APR-JUL	6.5	73				•	8.9
E F SEVIER near Kingston	APR-JUL	2₿	83			35	11.1	24
SEVIER blu Piute Dam	APR-JUL	46	71			77	8.6	56
OCTICA DIN FIGUR DAN	ALL VOL	75	•					
CLEAR CREEK near Sevier	APR-JUL	17.5	80					22
SIGURD to GUNNISON	APR-JUL	32	73			72	13.5	44
KINGSTON to VERHILLION DAM	APR-JUL	14.8	74					18.9
VERNILLION DAM to GUNNISON	APR-JUN	27	67					46
SALINA CREEK at Salina	APR-JUN	13.0	71					18.2
PLEASANT CREEK near Pleasant	APR-JUL	8.5	74					11.5
EPHRAIM CREEK near Ephraim	APR-JUL	17.8	68					25
SEVIER or Gunnison	APR-JUL	` 79	71					99
CHICKEN CREEK near Levan	APR-JUL	2.7	77	2.9	2.5	3.9	1.5	3.5
CAN COSEN Cal Cide	APR-JUL	1.1	75	1.1	1.1	2,4	-9.2	1.6
OAK CREEK near Oak City CHALK CREEK near Fillmore	APR-JUL	14.8	85	12.8	16.9	20	7.9	16.4
BEAVER RIVER near Beaver	APR-JUL	23	85	28	19.8	37	11.4	27
DEVACK MIACH Leat Desait	MEN-JUL	C)	U-U	CO CO	1010	•	••••	
NORTH CREEK near Beaver (combined)	APR-JUL	13.0	89	16.9	9.1	25	4.4	14.6
MINERSVILLE RESERVOIR inflow	APR-JUN	13.6	91	14.6	11.4	20	6.0	14.3
TIATION TARGETT TO THE TOTAL THE TOT								
				!		TERRITOR ALIANA	LAW MINI DAY	^
RESERVOIR	STORAGE		(1 994 AF)	;	WA	Tershed snow	ALK ANALYSIS	5
	USEABLE	++ USE	ABLE STORAGE	++	 	NO). THE	S YEAR AS % OF
RESERVOIR	CAPACITY		LAST		ershed	CC	URSES	
1144-41		LICIO	WEAD	1110		13.	010 140	T VO AVEDACE

WET SUBS. and DRY SUBS. represent 130 and 70 percent subsequent precipitation events respectively. REAS. MAX. and REAS. MIN. forecasts are for 19% and 99% exceedance levels. (2) - Corrected for upstream diversions or changes in reservoir storage.

: YEAR

12,8

19.4

51.7

66.4

195,6

17.4

29.3

26.9

52.7

71.8

236.

22.3

GUNNISON

PIUTE

OTTER CREEK

SEVIER BRIDGE

PANQUITCH LAKE

MINERSVILLE (RkyFd)

YEAR

14.8

18.8

52.4

67.8

198.5

18.7

AVG. :

14.8


12.9

31.2 1

41.5 :

119.8 :

E. Garfield, Kane, Washington, & Iron Co.



WATER SUPPLY OUTLOOK:

The snow water content in southwestern Utah ranges from 67% of normal on the Parowan watershed to 122% of normal on the Enterprise to New Harmony drainages on the first of the month. Mountain precipitation was just below normal for the month of February and year-to-date precipitation is 71% of average. Streamflow forecasts range from 85% of average for Colorado River inflow to Lake Powell to 50% of average for Santa Clara near Pine Valley. Reservoir storage for Lake Powell is 21,129,800 acrefeet or 85% of usable capacity.

For more information contact your local Soil Conservation Service Office: Cedar City Field Office 801-586-2429

STREAMFLON FORECASTS

FORECAST POINT	FORECAST PERIOD	MOST PROBABLE (1999AF)	MOST PROBABLE (% AVG.)	MET SUBS. (1998AF)	DRY SUBS. (1000BAF)	REAS. Max. (1 000 ai	, н	N.		25 YR. AVG. 1880 AF
COAL CREEK near Cedar City COLORADO RIVER inf to Lake Powell 2 VIRGIN near Hurricane	APR-JUL APR-JUL APR-JUN	14.8 6986 46	79 85 59	8449	528 ø	25 9576 65	8 46).2 54ø 3.5		2 9 8 9 86 68
SANTA CLARA near Pine Valley	APR-JUN	2.5	50							5.0
RESERVOIR	STORAGE		(1 999 AF)	} }	HATI	ershed sno	MPACK AN	ALYSIS		
	USEABLE :		ABLE STORAGE		ena en		NO. COURSES	THIS	YEAR	AS % (
RESERVOIR	CAPACITY:	THIS YEAR	LAST YEAR	AVG.	ERSHED		AVG'D	LAST	YR.	AYERA
GUNLOCK	10.4	9.0	8.2		GIN RIVER		5	183		77
LAKE POWELL	25002.0	21130.0			KOWAN	II HADMUMA	4 2	83 123		67 122
QUAIL CREEK	(8.4	NO REPO	ł1 β.8		erprise to Ne N. Creek	M MAKIRANI	3	75		68
UPPER ENTERPRISE	10.6	Ø.9 Ø.6	0.5	150000000000000000000000000000000000000	CALANTE RIVER		2	78		71
LOWER ENTERPRISE	2.0	yiu	Ain		JTHNESTERN UTA	Н	12	84		77

WET SUBS. and DRY SUBS. represent 130 and 70 percent subsequent precipitation events respectively.

REAS. MAX. and REAS. MIN. forecasts are for 10% and 90% exceedance levels.

^{(2) -} Corrected for upstream diversions or changes in reservoir storage.

SNOW MEASUREMENT DATA

SNOW COURSE	ELEV.	DATE	SNOW DEPTH		LAST YEAR	
ALTA CENTRAL	88øø	Ø3/Ø2	 			
ASHLEY TWIN LAKES	10500	Ø2/28		31.9	19.7	
ATWOOD LAKE	10840	Ø2/28		9.8		13.6
ATWOOD LAKE SNOTEL	10840	Ø2/27		7.4	4.4	9.7
	828Ø	Ø2/22		6.6	5.8	8.0
	828Ø	Ø2/27			6.8	10.8
	8ØØØ	Ø2/24	20	8.2 8.1	7.5	11.0
BEAVER DAMS SNOTEL	8000	Ø2/27		7.2		10.5
BEN LOMOND PEAK	8000	Ø2/22	93		6.9	10.5
BEN LOMOND PEAK BEN LOMOND PK SNOTL	8000	Ø2/27	- -	33.6 34.6	16.9	31.2
BEN LOMOND TRAIL	6000	Ø2/22			19.9	33.3
BEN LOMOND TRAIL BEN LOMOND TR SNOTL	6000	Ø2/27	-	25.5	10.6	16.7
BEVAN'S CABIN	6450	Ø3/Ø1		9.6	9.8	18.7
BIG FLAT	10290	Ø2/23	47		7.1	8.8
BEVAN'S CABIN BIG FLAT BIG FLAT SNOTEL	10290	Ø2/27	-		14.8	
BIRCH CROSSING	8100	Ø2/27		15.1 4.8	14.5	14.1
BLACK'S FLAT-U.M. CK	9400	Ø2/24			8.3	6.4
BLACK FLAT-U.M. CK S	9400	Ø2/27		6.8	7.Ø	9.4
BLACKIC FORK	0000	Ø2/24		9.ØE		
BLACK'S FORK GS-EF	0240	Ø2/21	32	7.2		11.5
BLACK'S FORK JUNCTN	8930	Ø2/21	36	7.7		7.6
BOX CRFFK	02/8/8	Ø2/24	41		7.7 9.3	7.6
BOX CREEK SNOTEL	93ØØ	Ø2/27	-		9.3	
BRIAN HEAD BRIGHTON	10000	Ø2/23	41			11.1
BRIGHTON	875ø	03/02	62			16.5
BRIGHTON SNOTEL	875ø	Ø2/27	-	22.1	12.9	
BRIGHTON CARIN	9700	Ø2/28	64	22.1	12.9	
BROWN DUCK RIDGE	1Ø6ØØ	Ø2/22	5ø		12.5	
BROWN DUCK SNOTEL	1Ø6ØØ	Ø2/27	_	11.9	10.9	
BRYCE CANYON	8ØØØ	Ø2/27		3.2	1.4	··· ···
BUCK FLAT	98øø	Ø2/25	41	11.6		4.6
BUCK FLAT SNOTEL	98ØØ	Ø2/27				
BUCK PASTURE	97øø			11.7		14.5
BUCKBOARD FLAT	9ØØØ	Ø2/22		11.0	9.4	10.0
BUG LAKE	795ø	Ø2/21	48	13.5	12.ø	15.5
BUG LAKE SNOTEL	795ø	Ø2/27	-	14.8	13.6	18.Ø
BURT'S-MILLER RANCH	79ØØ	Ø2/21	26	5.3	3.8	4.6
CAMP JACKSON	86ØØ	Ø2/22	41	1Ø.7	10.2	11.5
CAMP JACKSON SNOTEL	86ØØ	Ø2/27	-	12.4	10.7	11.5
CASTLE VALLEY	958ø	Ø2/23	32	8.3	10.0	11.4
CASTLE VALLEY SNOTL	958Ø	Ø2/27	_	8.6	11.1	11.5
CHALK CREEK #1	91ØØ	Ø2/21	67	15.1	13.2	18.7
CHALK CK #1 SNOTEL	9100	Ø2/27	_	19.2	14.5	19.4
CHALK CREEK #2	82ØØ	Ø2/21	53	11.6	9.2	12.2
CHALK CK #2 SNOTEL	82ØØ	Ø2/27		14.4	10.9	12.6
CHALK CREEK #3	75øø	Ø2/21	37	7.5	5.1	6.7
					~ * ·	0.7

SNOW COURSE	ELEV.	DATE	SNOW DEPTH	WATER CONTENT		AVERAGE 1961-85
CHEPETA CHEPETA SNOTEL CHEPETA-WHITERKS. LK CITY CREEK CLEAR CREEK MEADOWS CLEAR CREEK RIDGE #1 CLEAR CK RIDG #1 SNT CLEAR CKEEK RIDGE #2 CLEAR CK RIDG #2 SNT CLEAR CREEK RIDGE #3 CURRANT CREEK SNOTEL DANIELS-STRAWBERRY DANIELS-STRAWBERRY DANIELS-STRAWBERRY S DESERET PEAK DESERET PEAK DESERET PEAK SNOTEL DILL'S CAMP DILL'S CAMP DILL'S CAMP SNOTEL DONKEY RESERVOIR DONKEY RESERVOIR SNO DRY BREAD POND	1 Ø 3 Ø Ø 1 Ø 3 Ø Ø 1 Ø 3 5 Ø Ø 7 5 Ø Ø 9 2 Ø Ø 9 2 Ø Ø 8 Ø Ø Ø Ø 8 Ø Ø Ø Ø 9 2 5 Ø 9 2 5 Ø 9 2 8 Ø Ø 9 8 8 Ø Ø Ø 9 8 8 Ø Ø Ø 9 8 8 Ø Ø Ø 9 8 8 Ø Ø Ø 9 8 8 Ø Ø 9 8 8 Ø Ø 9 8 8 8 Ø Ø 9 8 8 8 Ø Ø 9 8 8 8 8	### ##################################	DEPTH 46 - 44 65 62 41 - 39 - 25 36 - 36 - 5Ø 43 - 29 - 19 - 44	CONTENT 10.4 11.0 10.1 24.9 20.7 13.1 16.3 10.7 12.0 7.4 9.8 8.6 10.8 14.7 15.3 13.3 15.6 7.9 8.7 4.1 4.2 13.5	YEAR 7.1 7.1 8.0 13.6 12.4 9.7 6.3 9.4 7.3 - 8.4 5.1 10.2	1961-85 10.6 10.4 12.7 19.3 16.9 12.8 7.9 12.8 7.9 10.8 12.8 12.8 12.8 12.8 12.8 12.8 12.8 12.8 12.8 12.8 12.7 16.9
DRY BREAD POND SNOTL DUCK CREEK R.S. EAST SHINGLE LAKE EAST WILLOW CREEK SN FARMINGTON CANYON FARMINGTON CANYON L. FARNSWORTH LAKE FARNSWORTH LK SNOTEL	835Ø 87ØØ 98ØØ 825Ø 825Ø 8ØØØ 8ØØØ 695Ø 96ØØ 96ØØ 87ØØ	Ø2/27 Ø2/23 Ø2/28 Ø2/27 Ø2/27 Ø2/27 Ø2/22 Ø2/24 Ø2/27 Ø2/23 Ø2/23 Ø2/25 Ø2/25 Ø2/21 Ø2/27 Ø2/27 Ø2/27 Ø2/27 Ø2/27 Ø2/27 Ø2/27	- 68 27 83 - 74 48 - 25 42 - 50 41 47 40 59 34 - 57 - 45 -	24.3 11.4E 17.7 7.1 6.9 29.8 32.8 25.1 13.4 13.3 6.7 9.7 11.6 20.3 12.7 14.3 11.1 19.1 9.3 7.4 18.4 7.4 3.7 10.5 14.3	14.7 7.68 7.68 13.4 12.5 13.6 12.2 8.2 11.5 13.7 12.5 13.7 12.5 13.7 12.5 13.7 12.5 14.0 9.0 11.5 12.0 9.0 11.5 12.0 13.0 13.0 14.0 15.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16	18.7 11.8 8.9 9.6 1.0 9.6 1.0 1.5 1.4 1.2 2.9 1.5 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4

SNOW COURSE	ELEV.	DATE	SNOW DEPTH	WATER CONTENT	LAST YEAR	AVERAGE 1961-85
HENRY'S FORK	10000	Ø2/28	37	7.4	10.0	11.3
HEWINTA G.S.	95øø	Ø2/21	34	7.0	8.9	7.5
HEWINTA SNOTEL	95øø	Ø2/27	-	7.9	9.6	7.5
HICKERSON PARK	9100	Ø2/21	32	6.5		
HICKERSON PARK SNOTE	9100	Ø2/27	-	6.2	9.3 7.4	5.5
HIDDEN SPRINGS	55øø	Ø3/Ø2	24	8.5		5.5
HOLE-IN-THE-ROCK	915Ø	Ø2/21	25	5.Ø	2.5	6.Ø
HOLE-IN-ROCK SNOTEL	9150	Ø2/21	25 ~		4.9	4.5
HOLE-IN-THE-ROCK GS	83ØØ	WZ/Z/	-	5.1	5.4	4.5
HOBBLE CREEK SUMMIT	742Ø	Ø2/22	42	10 =	~ 7	2.3
HORSE RIDGE	826Ø	Ø2/22	55	12.5	9.7	12.9
HORSE RIDGE SNOTEL	826Ø	Ø2/27	- -	18.1	12.6	18.9
HUNTINGTON-HORSESHOE	98ØØ	Ø2/27	- 52	20.2 16.9	14.3	21.1
INDIAN CANYON	9100	Ø2/25	3Ø		14.8	21.3
INDIAN CANYON SNOTEL	9100	Ø2/27	- J	7.1 7.1	8.Ø	10.8
JOHNSON VALLEY	885Ø	Ø2/24	28	6.8	6.6	9.9
KILFOIL CREEK	73ØØ	Ø2/24 Ø2/22	46	12.8	4.4	6.4
KILLYON CANYON	63ØØ	Ø3/Ø2	26	10.2	8.0	12.5
KIMBERLY MINE (UPPER)	93ØØ	Ø2/23	44	12.2	6.1	6.9
KIMBERLY MINE SNOTEL	93ØØ	Ø2/27		11.3	12.0	13.1
KING'S CABIN (UPPER)	873Ø	Ø2/27	35	8.4	11.0	13.1
KING'S CABIN SNOTEL	873Ø	Ø2/27	-	9.4	3.9	8.5
KLONDIKE NARROWS	7400	Ø2/21	51	16.1	4.1 12.7	9.7
KOLOB-CRYSTAL	925ø	Ø2/24	46	13.2	15.9	17.4 17.4
KOLOB SNOTEL	925ø	Ø2/27		12.1	18.5	
LAKEFORK BASIN	10900	Ø2/28	53	13.8	10.8	18.1 17.7
LAKEFORK BASIN SNOTE	10900	Ø2/27		14.6	11.3	13.2
LAKEFORK MOUNTAIN #1	10100	Ø2/22	37	9.Ø	6.4	9.4
LAKEFORK #1 SNOTEL	10100	Ø2/27	_	9.6	6.9	9.6
LAKEFORK MOUNTAIN #3	8400	Ø2/22	25	5.9	3.Ø	5.7
LAMBS CANYON	7400	Ø2/27	46	15.4	11.0	14.2
LASAL MOUNTAIN LOWER	88øø	Ø2/23	31	7.3	7.2	7.8
LASAL MOUNTAIN (UPP)	985Ø	Ø2/23	46	13.Ø	13.6	12.6
LASAL MOUNTAIN SNOTE	985Ø	Ø2/27		10.6	9.4	12.ø
LIGHTNING LAKE	1Ø5ØØ	Ø2/28	6Ø	16.2	13.5	19.8
LIGHTNING LAKE SNOTE	1Ø5ØØ	Ø2/27	_	16.7	13.Ø	2Ø.5
LILY LAKE	9ø5ø	Ø2/21	48	9.9	8.9	11.9
LILY LAKE SNOTEL	9Ø5Ø	Ø2/27	_	9.1	7.5	11.7
LITTLE BEAR (LOWER)	6ØØØ	Ø2/22	4Ø	11.8	6.9	9.5
LITTLE BEAR (UPPER)	655Ø	Ø2/22	41	12.1	7.Ø	11.2
LITTLE BEAR SNOTEL	655ø	Ø2/27	*tered	13.5	8.1	13.6
LITTLE GRASSY CREEK	6100	02/24	22	6.4	2.6	4.Ø
LITTLE GRASSY SNOTEL	6100	Ø2/27	****	4.1	.7	4.0
LONG FLAT	8ØØØ	Ø2/24	21	5.8	7.3	6.ø
LONG FLAT SNOTEL	8 øøø	Ø2/27	-	5.2	6.8	7.3
LONG VALLEY JCT.	75ØØ	Ø2/23	19	5.8	.ø	4.9
LONG VALLEY JCT. SNT	75øø	Ø2/27		7.0	1.4	4.9
						~

SNOW COURSE	ELEV.	DATE	SNOW DEPTH	WATER CONTENT	LAST YEAR	AVERAGE 1961-85
LOOKOUT PEAK	82ØØ	Ø2/22	68	23.4		14.7
LOOKOUT PEAK SNOTEL	82ØØ	Ø2/27	_	21.6	_	14.7
LOST CREEK RESERVOIR	613Ø	Ø2/22	28	6.9	4.4	5.8
MAMMOTH-COTTONWOOD	88ØØ	Ø2/25	47	15.5	14.Ø	18.4
MAMMOTH-COTTONWD SNT	88ØØ	Ø2/27	_	16.1	14.5	20.4
MERCHANT VALLEY (UP)	875ø	Ø2/23	37	9.3	9.2	1Ø.5
MERCHANT VALLEY SNOT	875Ø	Ø2/27	_	10.1	8.3	9.4
MIDDLE BEAVER CREEK	865Ø				_	3.6
MIDDLE CANYON	7000	Ø3/Ø1	35	11.7	8.Ø	11.7
MIDWAY VALLEY	98øø	Ø2/23	44	12.9	16.0	18.1
MIDWAY VALLEY SNOTEL	98øø	Ø2/27	-	13.1	18.9	17.4
MILL CREEK	695Ø	Ø2/28	5ø	17.4	11.4	16.3
MILL-D SOUTH FORK	7400	Ø2/28	46	16.2	10.8	17.2
MILL-D NORTH	896Ø	Ø2/22	7Ø	24.1	_	24.5
MILL-D NORTH SNOTEL	896Ø	Ø2/27		23.5	•	24.5
MINING FORK	8000	Ø3/Ø1	54	15.9	_	24.6
MINING FORK SNOTEL	8000	Ø2/27	_	15.6	***	24.6
MONTE CRISTO R.S.	896Ø	Ø2/22	59	2Ø.1	14.3	21.6
MONTE CRISTO SNOTEL	896Ø	Ø2/27		27.3	20.3	24.3
MOSBY MOUNTAIN(LOW)	95øø	Ø2/21	32	6.9	4.1	8.2
MOSBY MTN. SNOTEL	95øø	Ø2/27		7.Ø	5.4	9.7
MT.BALDY R.S.	95ØØ	Ø2/25	52	16.Ø	16.2	20.2
MUD CREEK #2	86ØØ	Ø2/25	36	9.6	8.9	11.9
OAK CREEK	776Ø	Ø2/23	32	8.Ø	8.Ø	11.4
ONE MILE SUMMIT	733Ø	Ø2/27	12	3.3	3.Ø	6.Ø
OTTER LAKE	96øø	Ø2/23	37	9.5	10.9	11.6
PANQUITCH LAKE	82ØØ	Ø2/23	17	4.2	1.8	4.6
PARADISE PARK	10100	Ø2/21	41	9.8	8.4	11.2
PARLEY'S CANYON SUM.	75øø	Ø2/27	54	17.4	11.8	16.Ø
PARLEY'S CANYON SNOT	75øø	Ø2/27		18.8	11.2	16.9
PAYSON R.S.	8Ø5Ø	Ø2/23	5ø	14.5	11.9	16.6
PAYSON R.S. SNOTEL	8Ø5Ø	Ø2/27		15.4	13.9	19.2
PICKLE KEG SPRING	96øø	Ø2/24	4Ø	11.5	9.2	14.6
PICKLE KEG SNOTEL	96ØØ	Ø2/27	_	12.9	1Ø.9	15.3
PINE CANYON	8ØØØ	Ø2/22	54	17.1	11.Ø	17.4
PINE CREEK	88øø	Ø2/23	41	12.4	12.4	14.0
PINE CREEK SNOTEL	88øø	Ø2/27		14.5	13.1	15.9
REDDEN MINE LOWER	85øø	Ø2/22	45	13.2	9.6	15.2
RED PINE RIDGE	9200	Ø2/25	41	11.8	10.5	15.0
RED PINE RIDGE SNOTE	92ØØ	Ø2/27	_	13.3	12.2	17.5
REES'S FLAT	7300	Ø2/23	39	10.3	7.9	11.2
REYNOLDS PARK ROCK CREEK	10400	Ø2/28	45	10.4	9.4	13.8
	79øø	Ø2/22	26	6.1	3.6	6.8
ROCK CREEK SNOTEL	79øø	Ø2/27	_	6.7	6.2	6.7
ROCKY BASIN-SETTLEMT	8900	Ø3/Ø1	55	18.5	11.7	23.4

SNOW COURSE	ELEV.	DATE		CONTENT	Γ YEAR	AVERAGE 1961-85
ROCKY BN-SETTLEMT SN SEELEY CREEK R.S. SEELEY CREEK SNOTEL SERGEANT LAKES SHINGLE MILL SILVER LAKE (BRIGHT.) SMITH & MOREHOUSE SMITH MOREHOUSE SNTL SNOWBIRD GAD VALLEY SOAPSTONE R.S. SPIRIT LAKE SQUAW SPRINGS STEEL CREEK PARK STUANTER CAMP STRAWBERRY DIVIDE STRAWBERRY DIVIDE STRAWBERRY DIVIDE STHAYNES CANYON THAYNES CANYON THAYNES CANYON THAYNES CANYON THAYNES CANYON THAYNES CANYON SNOTL THISTLE FLAT TIMPANOGOS DIVIDE TIMPANOGOS DIVIDE SN TONY GROVE LAKE TONY GROVE LK SNOTEL	8900 10000 10000 8300 8730 7600 7600 7600 7800 10300 10100 10100 10100 8550 8400 7950 8400 7950 8500 8200 8200 8200 8140 8140 8400 8400 8400	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	DEPTH	CONTENT 16.0 11.0 10.7 8.4 20.6 13.0 9.6 13.0 9.6 13.2 15.2 15.2 16.0 10.7 10.7 10.8	T YEAR	1961-85 19.5 14.4 13.9 14.5 7.8 20.6 11.4 12.5 28.1 11.1 6.6 12.8 6.7.9 12.8 6.7.7 12.2 17.9 17.9 17.9 17.9 13.8 22.0 21.1 30.9 31.6
TONY GROVE R.S. TRIAL LAKE TRIAL LAKE SNOTEL TROUT CREEK TROUT CREEK SNOTEL UPPER JOES VALLEY VERNON CREEK VERNON CREEK SNOTEL VIPONT WEBSTER FLAT WEBSTER FLAT WHITE RIVER #1 WHITE RIVER #1 WHITE RIVER #3 WIDTSOE-ESCALANTE #3 WIDTSOE #3 SNOTEL WRIGLEY CREEK YANKEE RESERVOIR	996Ø 94ØØ 94ØØ 89ØØ 75ØØ	Ø2/21 Ø2/27 Ø2/22 Ø2/27	62 - 37 -	15.4 18.3 9.1 8.4 6.6 13.7 10.7 9.1 9.1 9.2 9.3 6.5		20.6 21.2 8.5 8.1 9.6 10.1 9.8 13.4 15.0 12.4 11.9 12.7 7.9 9.4




Utah Snowpack Progress

Soil Conservation Service

Salt Lake City, Utah 1989






Statewide

NOTE:

Snow water equivalent in inches is compared to the highest seasonal amount (100%). Monthly averages are accumulated by basin/state.

Averages are for the period 1961-1985.





in the second			

The Following Organizations Cooperate With The Soil Conservation Service In Snow Survey Work

State

Utah State University
Utah State Department of Natural Resources
Division of Wildlife Resources
Division of Water Resources
Division of Water Rights
Bear River Commissioner
Price River Commissioner
Provo River Commissioner
Sevier River Commissioners
Spanish Fork River Commissioner
Utah Lake and Jordan River Commissioner

Federal

- U.S. Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service Forest Service
- U.S. Department of Commerce NOAA, National Weather Service
- U.S. Department of Interior
 Bureau of Reclamation
 Geological Survey
 National Park Service
 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Municipality

Manti Salt Lake City

Public

Beaver River Water Users Association
Board of Canal Presidents - Jordan River
Central Utah Conservancy District
Emery Canal and Reservoir Company
Grantsville Irrigation Company
Grantsville Soil Conservation District
Moon Lake Water Users Association
Ogden River Water Users Association
Provo River Water Users Association
Strawberry Water Users Association
Sevier River Water Users Association
Weber River Water Users Association
Weber Basin Conservancy District

Other organizations and individuals furnish information for the snow survey reports. Their cooperation is gratefully acknowledged.

All programs and services of U.S. Dept. of Agriculture are available to everyone without regard to race, creed, color, sex, age, handicap, marital status, or national origin.